

# Degenerate dispersive equations arising in the study of magma dynamics

G. Simpson<sup>1</sup>, M. Spiegelman<sup>1,2</sup>, M.I. Weinstein<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Earth and Environmental Science, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027 USA

E-mail: grs2103@columbia.edu, mspieg@ldeo.columbia.edu, miw2103@columbia.edu

**Abstract.** An outstanding problem in Earth science is understanding the method of transport of magma in the Earth's mantle. Two proposed methods for this transport are percolation through porous rock and flow up conduits. Under reasonable assumptions and simplifications, both means of transport can be described by a class of degenerate nonlinear dispersive partial differential equations of the form:

$$\phi_t + (\phi^n)_z - (\phi^n (\phi^{-m} \phi_t)_z)_z = 0,$$

where  $\phi(z, 0) > 0$  and  $\phi(z, t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $z \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Although we treat arbitrary  $n$  and  $m$ , the exponents are physically expected to be between 2 and 5 and 0 and 1, respectively.

In the case of percolation, the magma moves via the buoyant ascent of a less dense phase, treated as a fluid, through a denser, porous phase, treated as a matrix. In contrast to classical porous media problems where the matrix is fixed and the fluid is compressible, here the matrix is deformable, with a viscous constitutive relation, and the fluid is incompressible. Moreover, the matrix is modeled as a second, immiscible, compressible fluid to mimic the process of dilation of the pores. Flow via a conduit is modeled as a viscously deformable pipe of magma, fed from below.

Analog and numerical experiments suggest that these equations behave akin to KdV and BBM; initial conditions evolve into a collection of solitary waves and dispersive radiation. As  $\phi \rightarrow 0$ , the equations become degenerate. A general local well-posedness theory is given for a physical class of data (roughly  $H^1$ ) via fixed point methods. The strategy requires positive lower bounds on  $\phi(z, t)$ . The key to global existence is the persistence of these bounds for all time. Furthermore, we construct a Lyapunov energy functional, which is locally convex about the uniform porosity state,  $\phi \equiv 1$ , and prove (global in time) nonlinear dynamic stability of the uniform state for *any*  $m$  and  $n$ . For data which are large perturbations of the uniform state, we prove global in time well-posedness for restricted ranges of  $m$  and  $n$ . This includes, for example, the case  $n = 4, m = 0$ , where an appropriate uniform in time lower global bound on  $\phi$  can be proved using the conservation laws. We compare the dynamics to that of other problems and discuss open questions concerning a larger range of exponents, for which we conjecture global existence.

AMS classification scheme numbers: 74J30, 35A05

Submitted to: *NL*

**Figure 1.** (a) A single Olivine crystal, and the channels along which molten rock flows, [1]. (b) Fluid flow up a viscously deformable pipe.

## 1. Introduction and Overview

An outstanding problem in Earth science is understanding the method of transport of magma in the Earth's mantle. One proposed method is buoyant ascent of the melt through a viscously deformable porous medium. Such a model may be visualized in Figure 1 (a), where the magma flows through tubules along the grain boundaries of the solid rock. Equations for this process were derived independently in [2] and [3, 4]. In both derivations, the system is modeled using a volume averaged two phase flow, one for the melt and one for the matrix, at an extremely low Reynolds number. This amounts to having two coupled conservation of mass equations to two force balance equations,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(\rho_f \phi) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_f \phi \vec{v}_f) &= 0 \\ \partial_t(\rho_s(1 - \phi)) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_s(1 - \phi) \vec{v}_s) &= 0 \\ \nabla \cdot (\phi \sigma_f) &= \rho_f \phi \vec{g} + \vec{I} \\ \nabla \cdot ((1 - \phi) \sigma_s) &= \rho_s(1 - \phi) \vec{g} - \vec{I}\end{aligned}$$

The variables are defined in table 1. The fluid rheology is that of an incompressible

**Table 1.** Viscously Deformable Media Variables

Parameter	Symbol
Porosity	$\phi$
Fluid density	$\rho_f$
Solid density	$\rho_s$
Fluid velocity	$\vec{v}_f$
Solid velocity	$\vec{v}_s$
Fluid rheology	$\sigma_f$
Solid rheology	$\sigma_s$
Gravitational vector	$\vec{g}$
Interphase force	$\vec{I}$

inviscid fluid while the solid rheology is treated as viscously compressible fluid. We refer the reader to [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] for expositions on these relationships and their simplifications.

When reduced to 1-D, as in [3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9], this transport can be described by a class of degenerate nonlinear dispersive partial differential equations of the form:

$$(\phi^m - \partial_z \phi^n \partial_z) [\phi^{-m} \phi_t] = -(\phi^n)_z \quad (1.1)$$

This can be rewritten as the coupled system,

$$\phi_t = \phi^m u \quad (1.2)$$

$$(\phi^m - \partial_z \phi^n \partial_z) u = -(\phi^n)_z \quad (1.3)$$

The exponent  $n$  corresponds to the power in the permeability-porosity relationship

$$K \propto \phi^n$$

while the exponent  $m$  corresponds to the power in the bulk viscosity-porosity relationship

$$\eta \propto \frac{1}{\phi^m}$$

In [3, 4], the authors conclude that the parameter space for these exponents is  $2 \leq n \leq 5$  and  $0 \leq m \leq 1$ . In [2], the bulk viscosity is taken to be constant, corresponding to  $m = 0$ , and  $2 \leq n \leq 3$ . Several papers [5, 7, 9] have focused on the case  $n = 3$  and  $m = 0$ .

A second important mechanism for magma migration is that of flow through a viscously deformable pipe embedded in a viscous matrix, as takes place in the thermal plumes of the convecting mantle. This is pictured in Figure 1 (b). The primitive equations, [10],

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t A &= -\partial_z Q \\ Q &= \frac{A^2}{8\pi\eta_L} (\Delta\rho g + \eta_M \partial_z (A^{-1} \partial_z Q)) \end{aligned}$$

whose variables are defined in Table 2, give rise to an equation of the form (1.1), with  $n = 2$  and  $m = 1$ , replacing  $\phi$  with  $A$ . Analog laboratory models for

**Table 2.** Conduit Flow Variables

Parameter	Symbol
Areal region of pipe	$A$
Flux up pipe	$Q$
Fluid viscosity	$\eta_L$
Matrix viscosity	$\eta_M$
Fluid-Matrix density difference	$\Delta\rho$
Gravitational constant	$g$

this mechanism were studied in [10, 11], verifying the theory by demonstrating the appearance and interaction solitary waves, with measurements consistent with the equations. Throughout this paper, we will use  $\phi$  as the dependent variable.

Upon inspection, it is not clear what the behavior of (1.1) is. It has both a nonlinear forcing term and a nonlinear dispersive term, in addition to being degenerate and nonlocal. The degeneracy is evident in (1.3), where the inevitability and ellipticity of the operator break down as  $\phi \rightarrow 0$ . *This mathematical challenge is at the heart modeling the underlying physical system; can the melt disaggregate in the case of porous flow, or can the conduits split in the case of pipe flow? Are there upper and lower bounds for physical variables such as porosity?*

Previous studies, [5, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17], of the equations did not successfully address the issue of well-posedness and the related issue of a lower bound on  $\phi$ , the (scaled) melt fraction, although [12] did point out several reasons why solutions for which  $\phi$  went to zero would be non-physical.

Degenerate nonlinear *parabolic* partial differential equations are an important class of equations arising in porous media flow (with a fixed matrix) [18] and in

geometric flows, *e.g.* motion of a surface by its local curvature, [19]. However, the study of degenerate nonlinear *dispersive* equations appears to be wide open. Our equations bear some resemblance to those considered in [20], known to admit compactly supported solitary waves. We can see the dispersive character of the equation by linearizing about some background porosity  $\phi_0$ . The linearized equation

$$\phi_t - n\phi_0^{n-1} - \phi_0^{n-m}\phi_{zzt} = 0$$

has the dispersion relation

$$\omega(k) = \frac{n\phi_0^{n-1}k}{1 + \phi_0^{n-m}k^2}$$

with group velocity

$$\omega'(k) = n \frac{\phi_0^{n-1} - \phi_0^{2n-m-1}k^2}{(1 + \phi_0^{n-m}k^2)^2}$$

When  $\phi_0 = 1$ , which will be the background value of  $\phi$  in our analysis, we see the similarity between this equation and the Regularized Long Wave (RLW) equation, also known as the Benjamin-Bona-Mahoney (BBM) equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x u + u\partial_x u - \partial_x^2 \partial_t u = 0$$

which has a linearized (about zero) dispersion relation

$$\omega(k) = \frac{k}{1 + k^2}$$

with group velocity

$$\omega'(k) = \frac{1 - k^2}{(1 + k^2)^2}$$

The BBM equation [21, 22], was proposed as an alternative to the Korteweg - de Vries (KdV) equation and is asymptotically equivalent to KdV in the regime of small amplitude long waves. Like KdV, BBM admits stable solitary waves, although it is not completely integrable. The magma equations also have solitary waves, which play a central role in the general dynamics; see, for example, [3, 10, 5, 4, 13, 7, 12, 14, 8, 9]. In this paper we focus on well-posedness questions and stability of the uniform state. The question of stability of solitary waves is currently under study.

The main results of this paper are the following:

- (1) A local well-posedness theory is established for arbitrary initial conditions,  $\phi(z, 0)$ , in a physically natural set of functions,  $\mathcal{X}$ , of finite "energy",  $\mathcal{E}$ . The time-interval of existence, and therefore global well-posedness, is shown to be controlled by  $\|\phi\|_{H^1} + \min_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \phi(z, t)$ . See Theorem 2.12.
- (2) We obtain ranges of material exponents,  $(m, n)$ , for which global well-posedness for arbitrary data in  $\mathcal{X}$  holds. See Corollaries 4.6 and 5.7, along with figure 2. This range of parameters includes a set of obviously degenerate cases (such as  $m = 0$  and  $n = 4$ ), as noted in Corollary 5.8 and discussed in Section 6. The important case of McKenzie's equation,  $m = 0$  and  $n = 3$  remains open. We conjecture global well-posedness.
- (3) For *any* values of  $m$  and  $n$ , we prove that the uniform porosity state,  $\phi(z, t) \equiv 1$  is shown to be nonlinearly stable in Corollary 4.7. The key step is to show that an appropriately constructed functional, defined on  $\mathcal{X}$ , is locally convex about the uniform state. This strategy has been used in many Hamiltonian and nonlinear wave problems, see [23, 24, 25, 26].

**Figure 2.** The trapezoid shows the permeability-viscosity pairs of exponents,  $(n, m)$ , for which we have global existence for data of arbitrarily large  $H^1$  norm. The line  $n + 3m = 4$  bounds the region for which we can ensure a lower bound, while the line  $n + 3m = 6$  bounds the region for which we can ensure an upper bound. The line  $n + m = 3$  is a technical constraint. For all other  $(n, m)$  pairs, we have global existence for data near the uniform state of 1.

In a forthcoming paper, we shall treat the question of stability of small amplitude solitary waves [27].

We proceed as follows. In section 2 we exhibit local well-posedness in  $H^k(\mathbb{R})$  spaces for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . In section 3 we discuss certain conserved quantities associated with the equations. Section 3 addresses certain properties of a conserved functional and the nonlinear stability of the uniform background state. In section 4 we prove global well-posedness for data near the uniform background state, and in section 5 we show global well-posedness for large data for a certain subset of the equations. In section 6 we make comparisons with other equations, conjecturing how the equation regularizes itself through the time dependent length scale of the problem. We then summarize and discuss future work and open problems.

Throughout this paper, we shall use the following notation.

- (i)  $H^k$  denotes the Sobolev space  $W^{k,2}(\mathbb{R})$  of functions with  $L^2$  weak derivatives up to order  $k$ .  $k$  will always denote an integer,  $k \geq 1$ .
- (ii)  $C^{k,\alpha}$  denotes the space functions with derivatives up to order  $k$  are Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$
- (iii) All integrals are taken over  $\mathbb{R}$  in the spatial variable or on some finite interval  $[0, t]$  in the temporal variable.
- (iv) Generic constants are denoted by  $C, C', C'' \dots$  or  $D, D', \dots$
- (v) The dependence of a solution  $\phi$  of (1.1) on its data be may be explicitly expressed as

$$\phi(z, t; \phi_0)$$

where  $\phi_0$  is the initial condition.

## 2. Local in time well-posedness in $H^k$ , $k \geq 1$

In this section we consider the well-posedness of the initial value problem for (1.1), existence, uniqueness, and continuous dependence with respect to the data. Formally, solving (1.3) for  $u$ , we substitute this solution into (1.2) and integrate with respect to  $t$ . Applying an appropriate initial condition for  $\phi(z, t)$ , we obtain

$$\Lambda[\phi] = \phi_0 + \int_0^t \phi^m L_\phi^{-1}[-(\phi^n)_z] ds, \quad (2.1)$$

$$L_\phi u = -(\phi^n u_z)_z + \phi^m u \quad (2.2)$$

The mapping  $\Lambda$  is highly nonlinear, in no small part due to the dependence of the nonlocal operator,  $L_\phi$ , on  $\phi$ . Our strategy is to construct solutions of the initial value problem for (1.2-1.3) seeking a fixed point of the mapping  $\phi \mapsto \Lambda[\phi]$  in an appropriate metric space of functions. In particular, we shall apply the contraction

mapping principle [28] to  $\Lambda$ , restricted to an appropriately chosen closed subset of functions,  $\mathcal{X}_{R,\epsilon,T}^k$ , for which  $\phi(z,t) - 1 \in H^1$ . The set  $\mathcal{X}_{R,\epsilon,T}^k$  is constructed so that iteration of  $\Lambda$  on it preserves ellipticity and invertibility properties of  $L_\phi$ .

**Definition 2.1** (i) Given  $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ ,  $R, T > 0$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , we define

$$\mathcal{X}_{R,\epsilon,T}^k = \left\{ \phi : \phi - 1 \in C^1([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R})) : \sup_{t < T} \|\phi(\cdot, t) - 1\|_{H^k} \leq R, \sup_{t < T} \|\phi(\cdot, t)^{-1}\|_\infty \leq \epsilon^{-1} \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

The sets  $\mathcal{X}_{R,\epsilon,T}^k$  obviously include the constant solution,  $\phi = 1$ , so they are nonempty and closed sets.

(ii) A metric on  $\mathcal{X}_{R,\epsilon,T}^k$  is defined by

$$[\phi - \psi]_k(T) = \sup_{t < T} \|\phi(\cdot, t) - \psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^k} \quad (2.4)$$

We note that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a metric space, not a function space; it is centered about the constant solution 1.

**Definition 2.2** We call  $\phi$  a local solution of (1.1) with data  $\phi_0$  on the time interval  $[0, T]$ , if  $\phi > 0$ ,  $\phi - 1 \in H^k$  and  $\phi = \Lambda[\phi]$ .

**Theorem 2.3** (Local well-posedness) Let  $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ ,  $R > 0$ , and  $k \geq 1$ . Then, for  $T > 0$  sufficiently small,  $\Lambda$  is a contraction on  $\mathcal{X}_{R,\epsilon,T}^k$  with respect to the metric (2.4) and hence admits a unique fixed point. Thus, the initial value problem for (1.2-1.3) has a unique local solution. Moreover the solution depends continuously on the initial data.

**Remarks on notation:** Generic constants,  $C$ , may depend on  $R, \epsilon, T$  and  $k$ , along with the two parameters in the equation  $n$  and  $m$ . While the dependence on  $n$  and  $m$  will be suppressed in our proofs, the reader should be aware of it, and the change in the behavior of  $C$  as  $\epsilon \searrow 0$  and  $R \nearrow \infty$  as  $n$  and  $m$  vary. In the cases of geophysical interest, where  $n > 2$  and  $0 < m < 1$ , constants may behave like:

$$C \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } \epsilon \searrow 0 \text{ and } R \nearrow \infty$$

Thus, ensuring that  $\phi$  stays away from zero is critical to our problem as it evolves in time. **In the following, unless otherwise specified,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$  and  $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$  are arbitrary.** We now embark on setting up the proof of Theorem 2.3, which requires several technical steps.

**Proposition 2.4** Let  $R, \epsilon, T > 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ . For all  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{R,\epsilon,T}^k$

- (i)  $\phi \in C^{k-1,1/2}(\mathbb{R})$
- (ii)  $\phi \geq \epsilon$
- (iii) There exist  $M_j < \infty$  such that  $\|\partial_z^j \phi\|_\infty \leq M_j$  for  $j \leq k-1$ . The  $M_j$  depend on  $R, \epsilon$  and  $k$ .

Proof: This follows from Sobolev inequalities [29] and the definition of  $\mathcal{X}_{R,\epsilon,T}^k$ .

□

Also of use is that the Sobolev spaces  $H^k(\mathbb{R})$  form an algebra and admit the following inequality **give citation**

**Lemma 2.5** Assume  $k > 1/2$ . If  $f, g \in H^k(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\|fg\|_{H^k} \leq C_k \|f\|_{H^k} \|g\|_{H^k} \quad (2.5)$$

The nonlinearity in the magma equations appears as a power of the dependent variable. It will be useful to note the following property of these functions.

**Proposition 2.6** Let  $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ ,  $R, T > 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ . For all  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a Lipschitz constant  $C = C(R, \epsilon, k, p)$ , such that for  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{X}_{R, \epsilon, T}^k$ ,

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t_1)^p - \psi(\cdot, t_2)^p\|_{H^k} \leq C \|\phi(\cdot, t_1) - \psi(\cdot, t_2)\|_{H^k}$$

for  $t_1, t_2 \leq T$ .

Proof: This follows from the bounds of Proposition 2.4, Lemma 2.5 and that property that  $x \mapsto x^p$  is  $C^\infty$  for  $x$  bounded away from zero.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7** (i) Let  $R, \epsilon, T > 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{R, \epsilon, T}^k$  and  $f \in L^2$ . Then,  $L_{\phi(\cdot, t)}u = f$  has a unique solution  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Moreover, there exists a constant  $C = C(R, \epsilon)$ , such that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2} \quad (2.6)$$

(ii) Furthermore, if  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{R, \epsilon, T}^{k+2}$  and  $f \in H^k$ , then  $u(\cdot, t) \in H^{k+2}$ , then there exists a constant  $C = C(R, \epsilon, k)$  such that

$$\|u\|_{H^{k+2}} \leq C \|f\|_{H^k} \quad (2.7)$$

Proof: See [29] and [30] for details. We outline the arguments.

- (i) Since  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{R, \epsilon, T}^k$ ,  $L_\phi$  is self-adjoint and positive definite. The bilinear form  $\langle U, V \rangle_\phi = \int \phi^n UV dz + \int \phi^m \partial_z U \partial_z V dz$  defines inner product on  $H^1$ . By the Riesz Representation Theorem and there exists a unique  $H^1$  solution,  $u(\cdot, t)$ , which satisfies  $\langle V, u(\cdot, t) \rangle_\phi = \int V f dz$  for all  $V \in H^1$ . Clearly, (2.6) holds.
- (ii) Higher regularity may be deduced by first proving it for the case  $k = 0$  and then applying induction. The  $k = 0$  case is proved by noting that the regularity of  $\phi$  implies the coefficients will be  $C^{k+1}$  and studying the limit of difference quotients to estimate higher derivatives. Alternatively, since the problem is in one spatial dimension, we could compute and estimate derivatives of  $u$  explicitly using variation of parameters representation of the  $L_\phi^{-1}$ .

$\square$

**Proposition 2.8** Let  $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ ,  $R, T > 0$  and  $k \geq 1 \geq 1$ . There exists a constant  $C = C(R, \epsilon, k)$  such that for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{R, \epsilon, T}^k$ , if  $u$  solves  $L_\phi u = -(\phi^n)_z$ , then

$$\|u\|_{H^k} \leq C$$

Proof: The result follows by applying Proposition 2.7 to  $f = -(\phi^n)_z \in H^{k-1}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9** (i) Let  $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ ,  $R > 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Assume  $\|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^k} < R$  and  $\phi_0 \geq 2\epsilon$ . Then there exists  $T_1 = T_1(R, \epsilon, k, \phi_0) > 0$ , such that for  $T < T_1$ ,

$$\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{R, \epsilon, T}^k \longrightarrow \Lambda[\phi] \in \mathcal{X}_{R, \epsilon, T}^k \quad (2.8)$$

(ii) Moreover, there exists  $0 < T_2 \leq T_1$ ,  $T_2 = T_2(R, \epsilon, k, \phi_0) > 0$  such that for  $T < T_2$   $\Lambda$  is a contraction on  $\mathcal{X}_{R, \epsilon, T}^k$ , i.e., there exists an  $\alpha = \alpha(R, \epsilon, k, \phi_0) < 1$  such that for all  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{X}_{R, \epsilon, T}^k$ ,

$$[\Lambda[\phi] - \Lambda[\psi]]_k(T) \leq \alpha[\phi - \psi]_k(T) \quad (2.9)$$

We first prove Proposition 2.9 (i). We must thus find a  $T_1$  such that choosing  $T < T_1$ , for all  $t \leq T$

$$\|\Lambda[\phi](\cdot, t) - 1\|_{H^k} \leq R \quad (2.10)$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\Lambda[\phi](\cdot, t)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\Lambda[\phi](\cdot, t) - 1 \geq 1C^1([0, T_1]; H^k) \quad (2.12)$$

To establish (2.10), let  $u = L_{\phi}^{-1}[-(\phi^n)_z]$ . Then

$$\|\Lambda\phi - 1\|_{H^k} \leq \|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^k} + \int_0^t \|\phi^m(\cdot, s)u(\cdot, s)\|_{H^k} ds$$

Applying Lemma 2.5, Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.7 to the integrand we get

$$\|\phi^m u\|_{H^k} \leq C \|\phi^m - 1\|_{H^k} \|u\|_{H^k} + \|u\|_{H^k} \leq C''(CC' \|\phi - 1\|_{H^1} + 1) \leq \tilde{C} \quad (2.13)$$

Hence,

$$\|\Lambda\phi - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^1} + \tilde{C}T$$

Choosing  $\|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^k} < R$ ,  $T$  may be chosen sufficiently small such that  $\|\Lambda\phi - 1\|_{H^k} \leq R$ . This shall be our first candidate for  $T_1$ , which we denote as  $t_1$ .

To prove (2.11), consider the difference between  $\phi_0$  and  $\Lambda\phi$ ,

$$\|\Lambda\phi(\cdot, t) - \phi_0\|_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{2} \|\Lambda\phi(\cdot, t) - \phi_0\|_{H^k} \leq \sqrt{2} \int_0^t \|\phi^m(\cdot, s)u(\cdot, s)\|_{H^1} ds \leq \sqrt{2}\tilde{C}T$$

where  $\tilde{C}$  is the constant in (2.13).

$$|\Lambda[\phi]| \geq |\phi_0| - |\Lambda[\phi] - \phi_0| \geq |\phi_0| - \|\Lambda[\phi] - \phi_0\|_{\infty} \geq 2\epsilon - \sqrt{2}\tilde{C}T$$

Taking  $T$  sufficiently small, this will be bounded below by  $\epsilon$ . Hence,

$$\Lambda[\phi] \geq \epsilon \quad \text{or} \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\Lambda[\phi]} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$$

(2.12) is more difficult to establish, requiring the following two Lipschitz-type estimates, which will also be needed in the proof of Proposition 2.9 (ii). This additional work is necessary because of the appearance of nonlinearity in the operator itself in contrast to more common nonlinear nonlocal dispersive equations. We thus require bounds on the "closeness" of the operators  $L_{\phi}$  for different  $\phi$ 's, which we establish in the following propositions.

**Proposition 2.10** Assume  $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ ,  $T, R > 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $\phi, \psi$  be in  $\mathcal{X}_{R, \epsilon, T}^k$ . Then for any two values  $t_1, t_2 < T$  at which  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are evaluated, there exists a constant  $C = C(R, \epsilon, k)$  such that

$$\left\| L_{\phi}^{-1}[-(\phi^n)_z](\cdot, t_1) - L_{\psi}^{-1}[-(\psi^n)_z](\cdot, t_2) \right\|_{H^k} \leq C \|\phi(\cdot, t_1) - \psi(\cdot, t_2)\|_{H^k}$$



Proof: Let  $u$  and  $v$  satisfy  $L_{\phi(\cdot, t_1)}u = -(\phi(\cdot, t_1)^n)_z$  and  $L_{\psi(\cdot, t_2)}v = -(\psi(\cdot, t_2)^n)_z$ . Then

$$L_\phi[u] - L_\psi[v] = -(\phi^n u_z)_z + (\psi^n v_z)_z + \phi^m u - \psi^m v = (\psi^n - \phi^n)_z$$

Let  $\gamma$  be in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Multiplying by  $\gamma$  and integrating by parts,

$$\int (\phi^n u_z - \psi^n v_z) \gamma_z + (\phi^m u - \psi^m v) \gamma = \int (\psi^n - \phi^n) \gamma$$

After adding and subtracting and rearranging terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \phi^n (u - v)_z \gamma_z + \phi^m (u - v) \gamma &= \int (\psi^n - \phi^n) \gamma + (\psi^n - \phi^n) v_z \gamma_z \\ &\quad + (\psi^m - \phi^m) v \gamma \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

For  $k = 1$ , if we substitute  $u - v$  for  $\gamma$ , we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int \phi^n ((u - v)_z)^2 + \phi^m (u - v)^2 &\leq \|\psi^n - \phi^n\|_{L^2} \|u - v\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|\psi^n - \phi^n\|_{L^\infty} \|v_z\|_{L^2} \|(u - v)_z\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|\psi^n - \phi^n\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2} \|u - v\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

which, after some further manipulation becomes,

$$\|u - v\|_{H^1} \leq C \|\phi - \psi\|_{H^1}$$

For greater regularity, with  $k \geq 2$ , we return to (2.14), and integrate by parts

$$\int \phi^n (u - v)_z \gamma_z + \phi^m (u - v) \gamma = \int (-(\psi^n - \phi^n) v_z)_z + \psi^n - \phi^n (\psi^m - \phi^m) v \gamma$$

This expresses  $w = u - v$  as a solution to the elliptic problem  $L_\phi w = f$  with

$$f = -((\psi^n - \phi^n) v_z)_z + \psi^n - \phi^n (\psi^m - \phi^m) v$$

Indeed, for  $k \geq 2$ , we know  $w$  is at least a weak solution, as  $f \in L^2$ , and the coefficients in the operator are bounded. We may thus apply Proposition 2.7 to get

$$\|u - v\|_{H^k} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{k-2}} \leq C \|\phi - \psi\|_{H^k}$$

□

We also need to be able to consider normed differences of  $\phi^m L_\phi^{-1} [-(\phi^n)_z]$  for which we make the following estimate,

**Proposition 2.11** *Assume  $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ ,  $T, R > 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Given  $\phi, \psi$  in  $\mathcal{X}_{R, \epsilon, T}^1$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(R, \epsilon, k)$  such that for any  $t_1, t_2 < T$*

$$\left\| \phi(\cdot, t_1)^m L_{\phi(\cdot, t_1)}^{-1} [-(\phi(\cdot, t_1)^n)_z] - \psi(\cdot, t_2)^m L_{\psi(\cdot, t_2)}^{-1} [-(\psi(\cdot, t_2)^n)_z] \right\|_{H^k} \leq C \|\phi(\cdot, t_2) - \psi(\cdot, t_2)\|_{H^k}$$

Proof: Let  $u$  and  $v$  satisfy  $L_\phi u = -(\phi^n)_z$  and  $L_\psi v = -(\psi^n)_z$  evaluated at  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , respectively. Then, using Propositions 2.6, 2.8, and 2.10

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^m u - \psi^m v\|_{H^k} &\leq \|\phi^m u - \phi^m v\|_{H^k} + \|\phi^m v - \psi^m v\|_{H^k} \\ &\leq (C \|\phi^m - 1\|_{H^k} + 1) \|u - v\|_{H^k} + C' \|v\|_{H^k} \|\phi^m - \psi^m\|_{H^k} \\ &\leq C'' \|\phi - \psi\|_{H^k} \end{aligned}$$

□

We are now able to prove (2.12). First we will establish differentiability, and then continuity, of  $\Lambda[\phi]$ . Let  $h \neq 0$ . Using Proposition 2.11,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\Lambda[\phi](\cdot, t+h) - \Lambda[\phi](\cdot, t)}{h} - \phi^m(\cdot, t)u(\cdot, t) \right\|_{H^k} &= \left\| \frac{\int_t^{t+h} \phi^m(\cdot, s)u(\cdot, s) - \phi^m(\cdot, t)u(\cdot, t)ds}{h} \right\|_{H^k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \int_t^{t+h} \|\phi^m(\cdot, s)u(\cdot, s) - \phi^m(\cdot, t)u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^k} ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|h|} \left| \int_t^{t+h} \|\phi(\cdot, s) - \phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^k} ds \right| \end{aligned}$$

Using  $C^1$  continuity of  $\phi$  in time, there exists  $\eta$  such that

$$\|\phi(\cdot, s) - \phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^k} \leq \eta |s - t|$$

thus,

$$\left\| \frac{\Lambda[\phi](\cdot, t+h) - \Lambda[\phi](\cdot, t)}{h} - \phi^m(\cdot, t)u(\cdot, t) \right\|_{H^k} \leq \frac{C\eta}{|h|} \left| \int_t^{t+h} |s - t| ds \right| = C\eta \frac{|h|}{2}$$

So as  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{\Lambda[\phi](\cdot, t+h) - \Lambda[\phi](\cdot, t)}{h} - \phi^m(\cdot, t)u(\cdot, t) \right\|_{H^k} \rightarrow 0$$

Thus it is differentiable, hence continuous, and

$$\partial_t \Lambda[\phi](\cdot, t) = \phi(\cdot, t)^m L_{\phi(\cdot, t)}^{-1} [-(\phi(\cdot, t)^n)_z] \quad (2.15)$$

Now, let  $t_1, t_2 < T_1$ . Using Proposition 2.11 and (2.15),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \Lambda\phi(\cdot, t_2) - \partial_t \Lambda\phi(\cdot, t_1)\|_{H^k} &= \|\phi^m(\cdot, t_2)u(\cdot, t_2) - \phi^m(\cdot, t_1)u(\cdot, t_1)\|_{H^1} \\ &\leq C \|\phi(\cdot, t_2) - \phi(\cdot, t_1)\|_{H^k} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi$  is continuous in  $t$ , we are done.

We have thus established that for  $T \leq T_1$ , (2.12), and hence Proposition 2.9 (i) holds, where  $T_1$  is determined from the values necessary for (2.10) and (2.11) to hold.

Now we will establish that  $\Lambda$  is a contraction. Let  $t \leq T_1$ . Applying Proposition 2.11,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda\phi(\cdot, t) - \Lambda\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^k} &\leq \int_0^t \left\| \phi^m L_{\phi}^{-1} [-(\phi^n)_z] - \psi^m L_{\psi}^{-1} [-(\psi^n)_z] \right\|_{H^1} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t C \|\phi - \psi\|_{H^k} ds \leq Ct [\phi - \psi]_k(t) \end{aligned}$$

If we now choose  $t$  such that  $Ct < 1$ , say  $T_2$ , then we have established

$$[\Lambda\phi - \Lambda\psi]_k \leq \alpha [\phi - \psi]_k \quad (2.16)$$

With

$$\alpha = CT_2 < 1$$

We have thus established that for data,  $\phi_0$  satisfying conditions

$$\|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^k} < R \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\phi_0} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \quad (2.18)$$

for some  $R > 0$ ,  $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ , and  $k \geq 1$ . There will exist a  $T_2 > 0$  such that the map  $\Lambda$  will be a contraction on the space  $\mathcal{X}_{R,\epsilon,T_2}^k$  proving Proposition 2.9 (ii). By the contraction mapping theorem, there exists a unique fixed point in  $\mathcal{X}_{R,\epsilon,T_2}^k$ , proving existence and uniqueness in Theorem 2.3. Reformulated as a local existence theorem for (1.1), we have

**Theorem 2.12** *Let  $\phi_0$  satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^k} &< R \\ \left\| \frac{1}{\phi_0} \right\|_{\infty} &\leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

for  $R > 0$ ,  $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ , and  $k \geq 1$ .

*There exists a  $T > 0$  dependent on  $R$ ,  $\epsilon$  and  $R - \|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^k}$  and a  $\phi - 1 \in C^1([0, T] : H^k(\mathbb{R}))$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\cdot, t) &= \phi_0 + \int_0^t \phi(\cdot, s)^m u(\cdot, s) ds \\ -(\phi(\cdot, t)^n u(\cdot, t)_z)_z + \phi(\cdot, t)^m u(\cdot, t) &= -(\phi(\cdot, t)^n)_z \end{aligned}$$

*This is a local solution to (1.1) such that  $\phi(\cdot, t) \geq \epsilon$  and  $\|\phi(\cdot, t) - 1\|_{H^k} \leq R$  for  $t < T$ .*

*Moreover, there is a maximal time of existence,  $T_{\max} > 0$ , such that if  $T_{\max} < \infty$ , then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|\phi(\cdot, t) - 1\|_{H^k} + \left\| \frac{1}{\phi(\cdot, t)} \right\|_{\infty} = \infty \quad (2.19)$$

Proof: The first part of this theorem has already been proved. Let us address the notion of the maximal time of existence. Assume  $T_{\max} < \infty$  and

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T_{\max}} \|\phi(\cdot, t) - 1\|_{H^k} + \left\| \frac{1}{\phi(\cdot, t)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq K < \infty$$

Then we have  $\|\phi(\cdot, t) - 1\|_{H^k} \leq K$  and  $\phi(\cdot, t) \geq K^{-1}$ . Hence we may apply our local existence theory with  $R = K + 1$  and  $2\epsilon = K^{-1}$  to get a time of size  $\delta = \delta(K, k)$  over which we know we may propagate forward data satisfying these constraints. If we then take as a new initial condition  $\phi_1(z) = \phi(z, T_{\max} - \delta/2)$ , we know it will continue up till at least time  $T_{\max} + \delta/2$ . This contradicts the maximality of  $T_{\max}$ , proving (2.19). □

The next result establishes that the solution to the initial value problem of (1.1) depends continuously on the data  $\phi_0$ .

**Theorem 2.13** *Let  $\phi_0$  and  $\psi_0$  both satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^k} &< R \\ \left\| \frac{1}{\phi_0} \right\|_{\infty} &\leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ ,  $R > 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ , and let  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  be their respective solutions in  $\mathcal{X}_{R,\epsilon,T}^k$  for some  $T > 0$  that both solutions are known to satisfy. Then there exists a constant  $C = C(R, \epsilon, k)$  such that

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t) - \psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^k} \leq \|\phi_0 - \psi_0\|_{H^k} e^{Ct}$$

for  $t < T$ .

Proof: Let  $t < T$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(\cdot, t) - \psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^k} &\leq \left\| \phi_0(\cdot) + \int_0^t \phi_t(\cdot, s) ds - \psi_0(\cdot) - \int_0^t \psi_t(\cdot, s) ds \right\|_{H^k} \\ &\leq \|\phi_0 - \psi_0\|_{H^k} + \int_0^t \|\phi_t(\cdot, s) - \psi_t(\cdot, s)\|_{H^k} ds \end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.15) and Proposition 2.11 (with  $t = t_1 = t_2$ ),

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t) - \psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^k} \leq \|\phi_0 - \psi_0\|_{H^k} + C \int_0^t \|\phi(\cdot, s) - \psi(\cdot, s)\|_{H^k} ds$$

Gronwall's inequality then gives

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t) - \psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^k} \leq \|\phi_0 - \psi_0\|_{H^k} e^{Ct} \leq \|\phi_0 - \psi_0\|_{H^k} e^{CT}$$

□

We have thus shown that the problem is locally well-posed in time for appropriate data, completing the proof of Theorem 2.3.

### 3. Conservation Laws

In this section we discuss conservation laws of (1.1). We derive a particularly useful “energy”, which we denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  and then prove the continuity of the mapping  $\phi \mapsto \mathcal{E}[\phi]$  on a subset of  $H^1$ . Finally, we show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally convex about the uniform state,  $\phi \equiv 1$ . These observations play a central role in the coming discussion of global well-posedness and stability in sections 4 and 5.

A systematic search for conservation laws of (1.1) was carried out in [31]. For general pairs,  $(n, m)$ , two conserved quantities were found. The first, corresponding to conservation of mass, is

$$T_1 = \int \phi - 1 dz \quad (3.1)$$

is conserved. The second quantity,  $T_2$  depends on the particular  $(n, m)$  pair, forming the following three families

$$T_2 = \begin{cases} \int \frac{1}{2} \phi^{-2m} \phi_z^2 + \phi \log \phi - \phi + 1 dz & \text{for } n + m = 1 \\ \int \frac{1}{2} \phi^{-2m} \phi_z^2 - \log \phi dz & \text{for } n + m = 2 \\ \int \frac{1}{2} \phi^{-2m} \phi_z^2 + \frac{\phi^{2-n-m} - 1}{(n+m-1)(n+m-2)} dz & \text{for } n + m \neq 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Let  $\tau_2$  be the integrand of  $T_2$ . Although  $\tau_2$  is the same within each of these three families, the corresponding flux,  $z_2$ , satisfying  $\partial_t \tau_2 + \partial_z z_2 = 0$  differs depending on whether or not  $m = 1$ . See [31] for the full taxonomy.

It is interesting to note that neither  $T_1$  nor  $T_2$  are well-defined on the spaces in the space, where local well-posedness is proved! In particular,  $\phi - 1 \in H^k$  does not imply  $T_1[\phi] < \infty$ . Nevertheless, we have found that an appropriate linear combination of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  is well-defined and can be used in the study of global well-posedness.

This observation is linked with question of stability of the uniform state,  $\phi \equiv 1$ . To facilitate our study of the stability of the uniform state, we seek a linear combination of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , such that:

- (a)  $\mathcal{E}[\phi] = \alpha_1 T_1[\phi] + \alpha_2 T_2[\phi]$ , is a continuous functional of  $\phi$  and well-defined on local solutions
- (b)  $\phi \equiv 1$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{E}[\phi]$
- (c)  $\mathcal{E}[\phi]$  is locally convex at  $\phi \equiv 1$ .

We find that these criteria, (a)-(c), can be satisfied if we choose  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  as follows:

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n+m=1 \\ 1 & \text{for } n+m=2 \\ \frac{1}{n+m-1} & \text{for } n+m \neq 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\alpha_2 = 1 \quad (3.4)$$

Our functional then has the form<sup>‡</sup>

$$\mathcal{E}[\phi] = \int \frac{1}{2} \phi^{-2m} \phi_z^2 + V_{n,m}(\phi) = \alpha_1 T_1 + \alpha_2 T_2 \quad (3.5)$$

where the potential  $V_{n,m}$  function depends on the particular pair of exponents, and is given as

$$V_{n,m}(x) = \begin{cases} x \log x - x + 1 & \text{for } n+m=1 \\ -\log x + x - 1 & \text{for } n+m=2 \\ \frac{x^{2-n-m} - 1 + (n+m-2)(x-1)}{(n+m-1)(n+m-2)} & n+m \neq 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Let us make a few remarks on  $V_{n,m}$ .

- (i)  $V_{n,m}$  is nonnegative and  $C^\infty([\epsilon, M])$ , with  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $M < \infty$ .
- (ii)  $V_{n,m}(1) = V'_{n,m}(1) = 0$ , hence for  $p \leq 2$

$$\frac{V_{n,m}(x)}{(x-1)^p}$$

is also in  $C^\infty([\epsilon, M])$ .

- (iii)  $V_{n,m}$  is continuous as a function of  $n$  and  $m$ . Indeed, if  $\epsilon = n+m-2$ , then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} V_{n,m}(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^{-\epsilon} - 1 + \epsilon(x-1)}{(\epsilon+1)\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^{-\epsilon} - 1}{\epsilon} + x - 1 = -\log x + x - 1$$

Similarly, if  $\epsilon = n+m-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} V_{n,m}(x) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^{1-\epsilon} - 1 + (\epsilon-1)(x-1)}{\epsilon(\epsilon-1)} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^{1-\epsilon} - x}{\epsilon} - (x-1) = x \log x - x + 1 \end{aligned}$$

<sup>‡</sup> In the case  $n=3, m=0$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  plays a role in the study of the instability of solitary waves in higher dimensions with respect to transverse perturbations [7].

Hence there is really a single expression not only for the potential but also for the functional, and the boundary cases  $n + m = 1$  and  $n + m = 2$ , can be derived from it by taking limits.

**Proposition 3.1** *The functional  $\mathcal{E}$  is Lipschitz continuous on the set*

$$\{\phi - 1 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : \|\phi - 1\|_{H^1} \leq R, \phi \geq \epsilon\}$$

*under the  $H^1$  norm, with Lipschitz  $C = C(R, \epsilon, n, m)$ , i.e.*

$$|\mathcal{E}[\phi] - \mathcal{E}[\psi]| \leq C \|\phi - \psi\|_{H^1}$$

Proof: Let  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  be two elements of this space. Let  $M = 1 + \sqrt{2}R$ , which bounds  $\|\phi\|_{L^\infty}$  by a Sobolev inequality. Since it will be of use, let  $\ell$  denote the Lipschitz constant for  $V_{n,m}(x)/(x-1)^2$  on the set  $[\epsilon, M]$  and let  $N$  denote this quotient's  $L^\infty$  norm on this set. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}[\phi] - \mathcal{E}[\psi]| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int \left| \frac{\phi_z^2}{\phi^{2m}} - \frac{\psi_z^2}{\psi^{2m}} \right| + \int |V_{n,m}(\phi) - V_{n,m}(\psi)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int \left| \frac{\phi_z^2 - \psi_z^2}{\phi^{2m}} \right| + \left| \psi_z^2 \left( \frac{1}{\phi^{2m}} - \frac{1}{\psi^{2m}} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \int \left| \frac{V_{n,m}(\phi)}{(\phi-1)^2} (\phi-1)^2 - \frac{V_{n,m}(\psi)}{(\psi-1)^2} (\psi-1)^2 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| \frac{1}{\phi^{2m}} \right\|_\infty \int |\phi_z - \psi_z| |\phi_z + \psi_z| + \left\| \frac{\phi^{2m} - \psi^{2m}}{\phi^{2m}\psi^{2m}} \right\|_\infty \int \psi_z^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \int \left| \frac{V_{n,m}(\phi)}{(\phi-1)^2} \right| |(\phi-1)^2 - (\psi-1)^2| + \int |(\psi-1)^2| |V_{n,m}(\phi) - V_{n,m}(\psi)| \\ &\leq C \|\phi_z - \psi_z\|_{L^2} + C' \|\phi_z - \psi_z\|_{H^1} + C'' \|\phi - \psi\|_{L^2} + C''' \|\phi - \psi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \tilde{C} \|\phi - \psi\|_{H^1} \end{aligned}$$

□

The following result shows that  $\phi_* \equiv 1$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{E}$  and for any  $(n, m)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally convex near  $\phi_*$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $p \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{E}[1 + p] = \frac{1}{2} \|p\|_{H^1}^2 + O(\|p\|_{H^1}^3)$$

as  $\|p\|_{H^1} \rightarrow 0$ .

Proof: Each of the cases of (3.6) must be examined separately, however the scheme is universal. We present the proof for  $n + m > 2$ . Given  $p$ , let  $f = 1 + p$ . The first variation of  $\mathcal{E}[f]$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta \mathcal{E}[1 + p], p \rangle &= \int \left( \frac{1}{n+m-1} - \frac{1}{n+m-1} \frac{1}{f^{n+m-1}} - m \frac{f_z^2}{f^{1+2m}} \right) p + \frac{f_z}{f^{2m}} p' \\ &= \int \left( \frac{1}{n+m-1} - \frac{1}{n+m-1} \frac{1}{f^{n+m-1}} + m \frac{f_z^2}{f^{1+2m}} - \frac{f_{zz}}{f^{2m}} \right) p \end{aligned}$$

And the second variation is

$$\langle \delta^2 \mathcal{E}[1 + p] p, p \rangle = \int \left( \frac{1}{f^{n+m}} - m(1+2m) \frac{f_z^2}{f^{2+2m}} + 2m \frac{f_{zz}}{f^{1+2m}} \right) p^2 + \left( \frac{1}{f^{2m}} \right) p'^2$$

Thus, taking a Taylor Expansion about  $p = 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}[1 + p] = \mathcal{E}[1] + \langle \delta \mathcal{E}[1], p \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \delta^2 \mathcal{E}[1] p, p \rangle + O(\|p\|_{H^1}^3) = \frac{1}{2} \|p\|_{H^1}^2 + O(\|p\|_{H^1}^3)$$

□

In section 4 we shall prove conservation of  $\mathcal{E}$ ; see Propositions 4.1 and 4.5.

#### 4. Data near the uniform state: Global existence and Lyapunov stability

We now prove global well-posedness for data sufficiently close to the uniform state,  $\phi \equiv 1$ . Furthermore, we show Lyapunov stability of the uniform state. An outline of our strategy is

- (i) Establish conservation of  $\mathcal{E}[\phi]$  for solutions of  $H^2$  spatial regularity.
- (ii) Construct  $H^1$  *a priori* estimates using conservation of  $\mathcal{E}[\phi]$  and Theorem 3.2 when  $\phi$  is of  $H^2$  spatial regularity
- (iii) Construct  $H^2$  *a priori* estimates by deriving a growth estimate on  $\|\phi_{zz}\|_{L^2}$  for  $H^2$  solutions to (1.1)
- (iv) Use these bounds to get  $H^2$  global existence
- (v) Exhibit stability in the  $H^1$  norm for  $H^2$  solutions with  $\|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^1}$  sufficiently small
- (vi) Establish that  $\mathcal{E}$  is also conserved for  $H^1$  solutions by approximating  $H^1$  data by  $H^2$  data and taking appropriate limits
- (vii) Prove  $H^1$  global existence by using the  $H^2$  argument, with the  $H^2$  norm replaced with  $H^1$  now that we have  $\mathcal{E}[\phi] = \mathcal{E}[\phi_0]$

**Proposition 4.1** *Assume  $\phi - 1 \in C^1([0, T] : H^2(\mathbb{R}))$  is a solution to (1.1), then  $\mathcal{E}[\phi]$  is conserved.*

Proof: Since  $\phi$  is of  $H^2$  spatial regularity, we may expand (1.1) to

$$\phi_t + (\phi^n + m\phi^{n-m-1}\phi_t\phi_z - \phi^{n-m}\phi_{tz})_z = 0$$

Multiplying by

$$\frac{1}{n+m-1} (1 - \phi^{1-m-n})$$

for  $n+m \neq 1$  and  $\log \phi$  for  $n+m = 1$ , one will find, after integrating by parts, the expression may be rearranged into

$$\partial_t e + \partial_z f = 0$$

where, for  $n+m \neq 1, 2$ ,

$$e = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\phi_z^2}{\phi^{2m}} + \frac{\phi^{2-m-n} - 1 + (n+m-2)(\phi-1)}{(n+m-1)(n+m-2)}$$

$$f = \frac{1}{n+m-1} \left( \phi^n + m\phi^{n-m-1}\phi_t\phi_z - \phi^{n-m}\phi_{tz} - \frac{n}{1-m}\phi^{1-m} - m\phi^{2m}\phi_z\phi_t + \phi^{1-2m}\phi_{zt} \right)$$

and  $\int e = \mathcal{E}$ . Analogous expressions hold in the other cases. Note that the factor by which we multiply is continuous in the limit of  $n+m = 1$ .

□

**Proposition 4.2** Assume  $\phi - 1 \in C^1([0, T] : H^2(\mathbb{R}))$  is a solution to (1.1), and there exist constants  $R, \epsilon$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(\cdot, t) - 1\| &\leq R \\ \left\| \frac{1}{\phi(\cdot, t)} \right\|_\infty &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

for  $t < T$ . Then there exist constants  $c_0 = c_0(R, \epsilon, n, m)$  and  $c_1 = c_1(R, \epsilon, n, m)$  such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\phi_{zz}(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq c_0 + c_1 \|\phi_{zz}(\cdot, t)\|_2$$

Proof: Expanding out Equation (1.1),

$$\phi_t + n\phi^{n-1}\phi_z + m(n-m-1)\phi^{n-m-1}\phi_z^2\phi_t - (n-2m)\phi^{n-m-1}\phi_z\phi_{zt} + m\phi^{n-m-1}\phi_t\phi_{zz} - \phi^{n-m}\phi_t\phi_{zzt} = 0$$

Which we rewrite as

$$\phi_{zzt} = \frac{\phi_t}{\phi^{n-m}} + n\frac{\phi_z}{\phi^{1-m}} + m(n-m-1)\frac{\phi_z^2\phi_t}{\phi^2} - (n-2m)\frac{\phi_z\phi_{zt}}{\phi} + m\frac{\phi_t\phi_{zz}}{\phi}$$

Letting  $u$  satisfy  $L_\phi u = -(\phi^n)_z$ ,  $\phi_t = \phi^m u$ , and

$$\phi_{zzt} = \frac{u}{\phi^{n-2m}} + n\frac{\phi_z}{\phi^{1-m}} + m(n-m-1)\frac{\phi_z^2 u}{\phi^{2-m}} - m(n-2m)\frac{\phi_z^2 u}{\phi^{2-m}} - (n-2m)\frac{\phi_z u_z}{\phi^{1-m}} + m\frac{u\phi_{zz}}{\phi^{1-m}}$$

Now, let us study the evolution of the  $\|\phi_{zz}\|$  in time.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|\phi_{zz}\|_2^2 &= \int \phi_{zz} \phi_{zzt} = \int \phi_{zz} \left\{ \frac{u}{\phi^{n-2m}} + n\frac{\phi_z}{\phi^{1-m}} + m(m-1)\frac{\phi_z^2 u}{\phi^{2-m}} - (n-2m)\frac{\phi_z u_z}{\phi^{1-m}} + m\frac{u\phi_{zz}}{\phi^{1-m}} \right\} \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{\phi^{n-2m}} \right\|_\infty \|\phi_{zz}\|_2 \|u\|_2 + |n| \left\| \frac{1}{\phi^{1-m}} \right\|_\infty \|\phi_{zz}\|_2 \|\phi_z\|_2 \\ &\quad + |m(1-m)| \left\| \frac{1}{\phi^{2-m}} \right\|_\infty \|\phi_{zz}\|_2 \|\phi_z^2 u\|_2 + |n-2m| \left\| \frac{1}{\phi^{1-m}} \right\|_\infty \|\phi_{zz}\|_2 \|\phi_z u_z\|_2 \\ &\quad + |m| \left\| \frac{u}{\phi^{1-m}} \right\|_\infty \|\phi_{zz}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C \|u\|_2 \|\phi_{zz}\|_2 + C' \|\phi_{zz}\|_2 + C'' \|\phi_z\|_\infty \|u\|_\infty \|\phi_{zz}\|_2 \\ &\quad + C''' \|\phi_z\|_\infty \|u_z\|_2 \|\phi_{zz}\|_2 + C'''' \|u\|_\infty \|\phi_{zz}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Recall Proposition 2.8 that there exists a constant depending on  $R, \epsilon$ , and  $k = 1$  such that

$$\|u\|_{H^1} \leq \tilde{C}$$

Also, an application of Sobolev's inequality gives the bound

$$\|\phi_z\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2} \|\phi_z\|_2 \|\phi_{zz}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} R \|\phi_{zz}\|_2$$

Using these bounds,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|\phi_{zz}\|_2^2 \leq c_0 \|\phi_{zz}\|_{L^2} + c_1 \|\phi_{zz}\|_{L^2}^2$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\phi_{zz}\|_2 \leq c_0 + c_1 \|\phi_{zz}\|_2$$

□



**Figure 3.** The two curves provide bounds on  $\mathcal{E}\phi$  for  $\|\phi - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \sqrt{2}/4$ . In this picture we have assumed that the peak of the lower bound is less than  $\sqrt{2}/4$ , hence  $\eta_c$  is the peak. Taking  $\eta < \eta_c$ , we are then able to constrain the energy by choosing  $\|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \delta$  to  $\mathcal{E}[\phi(\cdot, t)] \leq E$ . This assures us that  $\|\phi(\cdot, t) - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \eta$ .

**Corollary 4.3** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.2,*

$$\|\partial_z^2 \phi(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq c_0 t e^{c_1 t} + \|\partial_z^2 \phi_0\|_2 e^{c_1 t}$$

Proof: Apply Gronwall's inequality. □

We note that no assumption on the size of the data was used in proving Propositions 4.1 and 4.2; they will also be used in the case of "large" data in section 5.

**Theorem 4.4** *(Global existence in  $H^2$  and stability near the uniform state in  $H^1$ )*

*There exists  $\eta_c = \eta_c(n, m) > 0$  such that for any  $\eta < \eta_c$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(\eta, n, m)$  such that for any  $\phi_0$  satisfying*

$$\|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^2} < \infty \tag{4.1}$$

$$\|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \delta \tag{4.2}$$

*there exists a unique  $\phi$ ,  $\phi - 1 \in C^1([0, \infty) : H^2(\mathbb{R}))$  such that solves (1.1) with  $\phi(\cdot, 0) = \phi_0$ . Moreover,*

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t) - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \eta$$

*for all time.*

Proof: First, let us construct  $\eta_c$ . Proposition 4.1 ensures that  $\mathcal{E}$  is conserved for such a solution. From Theorem 3.2, there exist constants  $C$  and  $D$  such that for  $\|\phi - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \sqrt{2}/4$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\phi - 1\|_{H^1}^2 - C \|\phi - 1\|_{H^1}^3 \leq \mathcal{E}[\phi] \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\phi - 1\|_{H^1}^2 + D \|\phi - 1\|_{H^1}^3 \tag{4.3}$$

The peak of  $1/2x^2 - Cx^3$  occurs at  $x = 1/(3C)$ , and we set  $\eta_c = \min\{1/(3C), \sqrt{2}/4\}$ . Let  $E_c = 1/2\eta_c^2 - C\eta_c^3$ . Given  $\eta < \eta_c$ , let  $E = 1/2\eta^2 - C\eta^3$  and let  $\delta$  solve  $E = 1/2\delta^2 + D\delta^3$ . As indicated in figure 3, this construction forces

$$\delta < \eta < \eta_c \leq \sqrt{2}/4$$

and for  $\|\phi - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \delta$

$$\mathcal{E}[\phi] \leq E < E_c$$

Now assume that  $\|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \delta$  in addition to having  $\|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^2} < \infty$ . From Theorem 2.12, we know there exists  $T > 0$  such that  $\phi - 1 \in C^1([0, T] : H^2(\mathbb{R}))$  that solves (1.1) with  $\phi_0$  as the initial condition.

Suppose for some time  $\bar{T}$ ,  $\phi - 1$  ceases to be in  $C^1([0, \bar{T}] : H^2(\mathbb{R}))$ . Furthermore, let  $\bar{T}$  be the minimal such value. If  $\bar{T} = \infty$ , then we are done, so we may take  $\bar{T} < \infty$ .

For  $t < \bar{T}$ ,  $\phi - 1 \in C^1([0, t] : H^2(\mathbb{R}))$ ; hence it is a strong solution to (1.1) and  $\mathcal{E}[\phi]$  is conserved. This implies

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t) - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \eta$$

for  $t < \bar{T}$ .

Suppose not. Then there exists  $t < \bar{T}$  for which the reverse holds, and by the continuity of  $\phi$ , there exists a minimal time  $t_1$  such that  $\eta < \|\phi(\cdot, t_1)\|_{H^1} < \eta_c$ . However,

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t_1)\|_{H^1} < \epsilon_c \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$$

So (4.3) holds with the same constants  $C$  and  $D$ , but this implies,

$$\mathcal{E}[\phi(\cdot, t_1)] \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\phi(\cdot, t_1) - 1\|_{H^1}^2 - C \|\phi(\cdot, t_1) - 1\|_{H^1}^3 > \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 - C \eta^3 = E \geq \mathcal{E}[\phi_0]$$

contradicting conservation of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

By construction  $\eta < \eta_c \leq \sqrt{2}/4$ , so  $\phi \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Applying Proposition 4.2, with  $R = \eta_c$  and  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ , we have that for  $t < \bar{T}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(\cdot, t) - 1\|_{H^2} &\leq \eta + c_0 t e^{c_1 t} + \|\phi(\cdot, 0)_{zz}\| e^{c_1 t} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} + c_0 \bar{T} e^{c_1 \bar{T}} + \|\phi(\cdot, 0)_{zz}\| e^{c_1 \bar{T}} = R \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\phi} \right\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \quad (4.5)$$

Hence for all  $t < \bar{T}$ ,

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2} + \left\| \frac{1}{\phi(\cdot, t)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq R + 2 < \infty$$

and this sum does not go to infinity, as required by (2.19), for the solution to have a finite time of existence. Therefore, the solution persists for all time. Additionally, we have

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t) - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \eta$$

□

**Proposition 4.5** *Let  $\phi_0$  satisfy (4.2) and let  $\phi(z, t; \phi_0) - 1 \in C^1([0, T] : H^1(\mathbb{R}))$  be the solution of the initial value problem of (1.1) with this data. Then  $\mathcal{E}[\phi(\cdot, t; \phi_0)]$  is conserved on the interval  $[0, T)$ .*

Note that  $T > 0$  by the assumptions on the data and Theorem 2.12.

Proof: Let  $\{\phi_{0,n}\}$  be a sequence satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^2} &< \infty \\ \|\phi_{0,n} - 1\|_{H^1} &\leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

in addition to assuming that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_0 - \phi_{0,n}\|_{H^1} = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

Here, we have used the density of  $H^2$  within  $H^1$  to find such functions.

Since  $\phi_{0,n}$  have global solutions by Theorem 4.4, we can use Theorem 2.13 on the space  $\mathcal{X}_{\epsilon, \frac{1}{4}, \infty}^1$ , to get

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t; \phi_{0,m}) - \phi(\cdot, t; \phi_{0,n})\|_{H^1} \leq \|\phi_{0,m} - \phi_{0,n}\|_{H^1} e^{Ct}$$

Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , for  $t < \infty$ ,

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t; \phi_0) - \phi(\cdot, t; \phi_{0,n})\|_{H^1} \leq \|\phi_0 - \phi_{0,n}\|_{H^1} e^{CT} \quad (4.7)$$

Now, consider the change in the functional  $\mathcal{E}$ , over time,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}[\phi(\cdot, t; \phi_0)] - \mathcal{E}[\phi_0]| &\leq |\mathcal{E}[\phi(\cdot, t; \phi_0)] - \mathcal{E}[\phi(\cdot, t; \phi_{0,n})]| \\ &\quad + |\mathcal{E}[\phi(\cdot, t; \phi_{0,n})] - \mathcal{E}[\phi_{0,n}]| + |\mathcal{E}[\phi_{0,n}] - \mathcal{E}[\phi_0]| \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi(z, t; \phi_{0,n})$  are global strong solutions,  $\mathcal{E}[\phi(z, t; \phi_{0,n})]$  is conserved. Applying Proposition 3.1 with the set

$$\{\phi - 1 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : \|\phi - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \epsilon, \phi \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$$

we get

$$|\mathcal{E}[\phi(\cdot, t; \phi_0)] - \mathcal{E}[\phi_0]| \leq C(\|\phi(\cdot, t; \phi_0) - \phi(\cdot, t; \phi_{0,n})\|_{H^1} + \|\phi_0 - \phi_{0,n}\|_{H^1})$$

Now, using (4.7),

$$|\mathcal{E}[\phi(\cdot, t; \phi_0)] - \mathcal{E}[\phi_0]| \leq C' \|\phi_0 - \phi_{0,n}\|_{H^1}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have for  $t < T$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}[\phi(\cdot, t; \phi_0)] = \mathcal{E}[\phi_0]$$

□

**Corollary 4.6** *Theorem 4.4 holds for  $H^1$ .*

While we have not proved global existence in  $C^1([0, T] : H^k(\mathbb{R}))$  for  $k > 2$ , the results of this section still yield a stability result in these smoother spaces. We know we have a local solution in these spaces from Theorem 2.12. Proposition 4.1 and 4.5 establish conservation of  $\mathcal{E}$  for solutions in  $C^1([0, T] : H^k(\mathbb{R}))$ , with  $k \geq 1$ . Following the same argument as used in theorem 4.4, we thus have

**Corollary 4.7** *(Nonlinear Stability of the Uniform State) There exists  $\epsilon_c > 0$  such that for any  $\epsilon < \epsilon_c$  there exists  $\delta$  such that if  $\|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \delta$ , then the solution to (1.1),  $\phi \in C^1([0, T] : H^k(\mathbb{R}))$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $T > 0$ , allowing  $T = \infty$ , with this initial condition satisfies*

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t) - 1\|_{H^1} \leq \epsilon$$

for  $t < T$ .

## 5. Global Well-Posedness for (almost) arbitrary data

We now turn our attention to the case of data that may not be close to the uniform state in the  $H^1$  norm. While the data must still be bounded away from zero, we are able to show global well-posedness for data of arbitrarily large size in the  $H^1$  norm, provided we satisfy the following three conditions on  $(n, m)$ .

$$n + m \geq 3 \tag{5.1a}$$

$$n + 3m \geq 4 \tag{5.1b}$$

$$n + 3m < 6 \tag{5.1c}$$

This is the shaded region in figure 2. The requirement that  $m > 0$  for smaller values of  $n$  is consistent with our suspicion that having a variable bulk viscosity regularizes the problem, as this requires progressively more work to be done to expel fluid from the pores as the porosity becomes smaller. For the remainder of this section, we assume these conditions to hold.

We must derive certain conserved quantities in this special case. Recalling (3.5), we write

$$g_r(x) = rx^{r+1} - (r+1)x^r + 1 \quad (5.2)$$

With  $r = n + m - 2$ , we may write

$$\mathcal{E}[\phi] = \int \frac{1}{2} \frac{\phi_z^2}{\phi^{2m}} + \frac{1}{r(r+1)} \frac{g_r(\phi)}{\phi^r}$$

**Lemma 5.1** For  $r \geq 1$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$g_r(x) \geq (x-1)^2$$

**Proposition 5.2** Assuming  $\mathcal{E}[\phi] < \infty$  and  $\phi > 0$ , there exists  $M = M(\mathcal{E}[\phi], n, m) < \infty$ , such that

$$\|\phi\|_\infty \leq M$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\phi(z) - 1)^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^z (\phi(z) - 1)\phi_z(z)dz \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(z) - 1| |\phi_z| dz \\ &= \int \frac{|\phi_z|}{\phi^m} \frac{|\phi - 1|}{\phi^{(n+m-2)/2}} \phi^{m+(n+m-2)/2} \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_\infty^{(n+3m-2)/2} \left\| \frac{\phi_z}{\phi^m} \right\|_2 \left\| \frac{\phi - 1}{\phi^{(n+m-2)/2}} \right\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

We are able to move the exponent outside the  $L^\infty$  norm since  $n + 3m - 2 > 0$  by (5.1b). Then employing (5.1a) to use Lemma 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\phi(z) - 1)^2 &\leq \|\phi\|_\infty^{(n+3m-2)/2} \sqrt{2\mathcal{E}[\phi]} \left\| \sqrt{\frac{g_r(\phi)}{\phi^r}} \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_\infty^{(n+3m-2)/2} \sqrt{2r(r+1)\mathcal{E}[\phi]} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|\phi\|_\infty - 1 \leq \|\phi - 1\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2} \|\phi\|_\infty^{(n+3m-2)/4} (2r(r+1))^{1/4} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}[\phi]}$$

or

$$\|\phi\|_\infty^{1-(n+3m-2)/4} \leq \sqrt{2} (2r(r+1))^{1/4} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}[\phi]} + 1$$

which ensures an upper bound so long as  $1 - (n + 3m - 2)/4 > 0$ , which is precisely (5.1c). □

This will ensure  $\phi$  is pointwise bounded from above in terms of the data through conservation of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let us define the upper bound functional that corresponds to this maximum as

$$\mathcal{U}[\phi] = \sqrt[1-(n+3m-2)/4]{\sqrt{2} (2r(r+1))^{1/4} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}[\phi]} + 1} \quad (5.3)$$

**Proposition 5.3** Assuming  $\mathcal{E}[\phi] < \infty$  and  $\phi > 0$ , there exists  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\mathcal{E}[\phi], n, m) > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\phi} \right\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log \frac{1}{\phi(z)} &= - \int_{-\infty}^z \frac{\phi_z}{\phi} dz = - \int_{-\infty}^z \frac{\phi_z}{\phi^{m+r/2}} \frac{\phi^{m+r/2}}{\phi} dz \\
 &= - \int_{-\infty}^z \left( \frac{\phi_z}{\phi} \frac{1-\phi}{\phi^{r/2}} + \frac{\phi_z}{\phi^{m+r/2-1}} \right) \phi^{m+r/2-1} dz \\
 &\leq \left\| \phi^{m+r/2-1} \right\|_{\infty} \left\| \frac{\phi_z}{\phi^m} \right\|_2 \left\| \frac{1-\phi}{\phi^{r/2}} \right\|_2 - \int_{-\infty}^z \phi_z dz \\
 &\leq \left\| \phi^{m+r/2-1} \right\|_{\infty} \sqrt{2r(r+1)} \mathcal{E}[\phi] + 1 \\
 &\leq \mathcal{U}[\phi]^{(n+3m-4)/2} \sqrt{2r(r+1)} \mathcal{E}[\phi] + 1 = \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have explicitly used (5.1b) to move the exponent outside of the  $L^\infty$  norm, and we have implicitly used the other two conditions along with this one, in order to make use of the conserved upper bound,  $\mathcal{U}$ .

□

We denote the corresponding functional for  $\epsilon$  as  $\mathcal{L}$ , given as

$$\mathcal{L}[\phi] = \exp \left\{ -\mathcal{U}[\phi]^{(n+3m-4)/2} \sqrt{2r(r+1)} \mathcal{E}[\phi] - 1 \right\} \quad (5.4)$$

This will ensure a conserved lower bound exists by conservation of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Proposition 5.4** *Assuming  $\mathcal{E}[\phi] < \infty$  and  $\phi > 0$ , there exists  $R = R(\mathcal{E}[\phi], n, m) < \infty$  such that*

$$\|\phi - 1\|_{H^1} \leq R$$

Proof: We divide our proof into two cases (i) :  $m \geq 0$  and (ii) :  $m < 0$ . For  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}[\phi] &\geq \int \frac{1}{2\mathcal{U}[\phi]^{2m}} \phi_z^2 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{U}[\phi]^{n+m-2r(r+1)}} (\phi - 1)^2 dz \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2\mathcal{U}[\phi]^{2m}}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{U}[\phi]^{n+m-2r(r+1)}} \right\} \|\phi - 1\|_{H^1}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and we have the constant  $R$ .

For  $m < 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}[\phi] &\geq \int \frac{\mathcal{L}[\phi]^{-2m}}{2} \phi_z^2 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{U}[\phi]^{n+m-2r(r+1)}} (\phi - 1)^2 dz \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{L}[\phi]^{-2m}}{2}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{U}[\phi]^{n+m-2r(r+1)}} \right\} \|\phi - 1\|_{H^1}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Again, let us define a corresponding functional that provides a conserved bound on the  $H^1$  norm.

$$\mathcal{R}[\phi] = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}[\phi] \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2\mathcal{U}[\phi]^{2m}}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{U}[\phi]^{n+m-2r(r+1)}} \right\}^{-1}} & \text{for } m \geq 0 \\ \sqrt{\mathcal{E}[\phi] \min \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{L}[\phi]^{-2m}}{2}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{U}[\phi]^{n+m-2r(r+1)}} \right\}^{-1}} & \text{for } m < 0 \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

Now that we have *a priori* bounds on  $\phi$  pointwise from above and below, together with a bound on the  $H^1$  norm, we may prove global existence. Thus we have,

**Theorem 5.5** *Let  $\phi_0$  satisfy,*

$$\|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^2} < \infty \quad (5.6)$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\phi_0} \right\|_{\infty} < \infty \quad (5.7)$$

*Then there exists a unique  $\phi$ ,  $\phi - 1 \in C^1([0, \infty) : H^2(\mathbb{R}))$  such that solves (1.1) with  $\phi(\cdot, 0) = \phi_0$ . Moreover,  $\|1/\phi\|_{\infty} < \infty$  for all time.*

Proof: This proof is much the same as in the small data case, with the pointwise lower and upper pointwise bounds coming from the functionals  $\mathcal{L}[\phi]$  and  $\mathcal{U}[\phi]$ , together with the norm bound  $\mathcal{R}[\phi]$ , and an application of Proposition 4.2.

We may prove global existence in  $H^1$  as in the small data case by first showing that  $\mathcal{E}$  is conserved for  $H^1$  solutions by taking a limit of  $H^2$  solutions,

**Proposition 5.6** *Let  $\phi_0$  satisfy*

$$\|\phi_0 - 1\|_{H^1} < \infty \quad (5.8)$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\phi_0} \right\|_{\infty} < \infty \quad (5.9)$$

*and let  $\phi(z, t; \phi_0) - 1 \in C^1([0, T] : H^1(\mathbb{R}))$  be the solution with data  $\phi_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}[\phi(\cdot, t; \phi_0)]$  is conserved on the interval  $[0, T]$ .*

Proof: This proof is very similar to the small data case. Let  $\epsilon$  be the conserved lower bound, given by  $\mathcal{L}[\phi_0]$  and let  $R = \mathcal{R}[\phi_0]$  be the conserved upper bound. Requiring our strongly convergent sequence  $\{\phi_{0,n}\}$  to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{0,n} - 1\|_{H^2} &< \infty \\ \left\| \frac{1}{\phi_{0,n}} \right\|_{\infty} &< \infty \\ \mathcal{R}[\phi_{0,n}] &\leq R \\ \mathcal{L}[\phi_{0,n}] &\geq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

we proceed as in the small data case almost verbatim. □

**Corollary 5.7** *Theorem 5.5 holds for  $H^1$ .*

**Corollary 5.8** *For data satisfying (5.8) and (5.9), global solutions exist in  $C^1([0, \infty) : H^1(\mathbb{R}))$  even when  $m = 0$  provided  $4 \leq n < 6$ .*

We have thus established global well-posedness for data that may not be close to the uniform state in the  $H^1$  norm, provided we satisfy (5.1a - 5.1c).

## 6. Discussion

Our definition of solutions of (1.1) and our proofs of existence, both locally and globally in time, depend upon ensuring  $\phi > 0$ . It is this condition, and the use of Sobolev type inequalities to adhere to it, that limit our global existence proofs to the  $(n, m)$  pairs in the set defined by (5.1a - 5.1c). However, numerical experiments indicate that we should expect a global lower bound on  $\phi$  to exist at least for the values of  $[2, 5] \times [0, 1]$ . On the other hand, solutions have been proposed in [14, 15, 13, 32] which are zero on sets of measure zero. This leaves us with three questions:

**Figure 4.** The magma and generalized BBM equations, (6.1) and (6.2) respectively, evolved from the data, (6.3), initially bounded away from zero. While Magma remains bounded away from zero, gBBM not only reaches zero but also becomes negative on a set of positive measure.

- Why do solutions that are initially uniformly bounded below away from zero remain above zero?
- How might a global existence proof be achieved for other choices of exponents  $n$  and  $m$ ?
- What is to be made of these functions that go to zero on sets of measure zero?

We offer partial answers to these questions and suggest directions for future work in this final section.

### 6.1. Importance of Nonlinear Dispersion for Positivity

The appearance of a degenerate nonlinearity in the dispersive term appears to be key to the positivity of the solution. We saw this in Section 5, where the appearance of terms  $\propto \phi^{2-n-m}$  in the invariants prevented  $\phi$  from going to zero. These terms only appear in the conserved integrals because of their presence in the underlying equation. There are physical reasons to suspect the benefit of a variable bulk viscosity in this model, consistent with (5.1b); by increasing  $m$  above zero, we gain global existence for a continuum of values of  $n$ , for which we could not otherwise succeed. But while having nonzero  $m$  may permit global existence for certain  $n$ , it is by no means required, as noted in Corollary 5.8.

Rather than continue to look at the invariants, let us examine the evolution itself. Comparing

$$\phi_t + (\phi^4)_z - (\phi^4 \phi_{zt})_z = 0 \quad (6.1)$$

the magma equation with  $n = 4$  and  $m = 0$ , shown to be globally well posed for arbitrary data in Section 5, with a generalized BBM (gBBM) equation,

$$u_t + (u^4)_x - u_{xxt} = 0 \quad (6.2)$$

we see a distinct and subtle difference in the dynamics; gBBM can become negative. With appropriate initial conditions, an asymmetrical global minimum,

$$u_0 = \phi_0 = 1 - .9 \exp \left( -\frac{(x - 75)^2}{100(1 - .999 \tanh(x - 75))} \right) \quad (6.3)$$

(6.2) will cross the axis, while (6.1) will prop itself up above zero, as pictured Figure 6.1. Away from the minimum in the figures, the solutions are quite similar. We suspect that it is this nonlinear dispersion that makes (1.1) *self-regularizing*.

### 6.2. A Comment on Invariant Bounds

Better understanding the nonlinear dispersive term will likely be necessary to expand the existence result, as we believe the energy estimate approach, on its own, has been exhausted. Indeed, our estimates, such as (5.3) and (5.4) appear quite crude when evaluated numerically and compared to the maximum and minimum values a particular system will actually attain.

**Figure 5.** The evolution of data (6.4) for (6.1). Note that it fails to become significantly larger pointwise from its initial maximum.

**Figure 6.** The evolution of data (6.5) for (6.1). Note that it fails to become smaller than its initial minimum of .5.

If we start with initial data of the form

$$\phi_0(z) = 1 + (1.5 - 1) \exp - \frac{(z - 100)^2}{2 \cdot 25} \quad (6.4)$$

a Gaussian floating on a background of 1 with a peak at 1.5, (6.1) evolves into a solitary wave train, as in Figure 5. The leading solitary wave has an amplitude on the same order as the data, about 1.6. If we were to use (5.3) to predict the maximum porosity, we would compute that  $\mathcal{U}[\phi_0] \sim 10.6$ , an order of magnitude higher.

Similarly, (5.4) predicts that the porosity will never go below a value many orders of magnitude smaller than the minimum value the profile eventually evolves into. For data for the form

$$\phi_0(z) = 1 - (1 - .5) \exp - \frac{(z - 50)^2}{2 \cdot 25} \quad (6.5)$$

corresponding to a negative Gaussian on a background of 1, with a minimum amplitude of .5, it never goes below .5. For the data in Figure 6,  $\mathcal{L}[\phi_0] \sim 8.1e-05$ , not to mention that  $\mathcal{U}[\phi_0] \sim 26$ , again in excess of the prediction.

### 6.3. Solutions that Reach Zero

A question that remains is, can we start with smooth data bounded away from zero and reach zero? While no solution which starts above zero and subsequently reaches zero is known, several functions which are zero on sets of measure zero have been constructed. So called Compressive Solitary waves, with  $\phi \leq 1$  and decay to 1 as  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ , are presented in [14, 15]. Some of these are smooth and have a finite number of even roots. These are certainly classical solutions of (1.1), although the conserved integrals of Section 3 are not finite for them.

One function in [14], along with some of those in [32, 13] go to zero at cusps, behaving like

$$\phi(z, t) = \phi(z - ct) \propto \sqrt{|\zeta|}$$

near  $\zeta = 0$ . Such a function will not have a square integrable derivative, and consequently, as pointed out in [12] for the case  $n = 3, m = 0$ , the conserved integrals will be also diverge. Thus these are not solutions in the sense of Definition 2.2.

However, they are solutions of the PDE in a weaker sense. We define a *weak solution* to (1.1) as a function  $\phi(z, t)$  such that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left( -\partial_t \psi(z, t) \phi(z, t) - \partial_z \psi(z, t) \phi(z, t)^n + \partial_z \psi(z, t) \phi(z, t)^n \partial_z \left( \frac{\partial_t \phi(z, t)}{\phi(z, t)^m} \right) \right) dz dt = 0 \quad (6.6)$$

for all  $\psi(z, t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ , and such that both

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(z, t) \\ & \phi(z, t)^n \partial_z \left( \frac{\partial_t \phi(z, t)}{\phi(z, t)^m} \right) \end{aligned}$$



**Figure 7.** Figure (a) shows the phase portrait for the traveling wave ODE, (6.8), of (1.1) with  $c = 4$ . Figures (b) and (c) are weak solutions constructing using parts of the phase portrait.

are in  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  in the  $z$  coordinate. Note that the solutions constructed in Section 2 will satisfy these conditions.

Consider the case  $n = 3$  and  $m = 0$ , with  $c > 3$  and define  $F$  as follows

$$F(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \text{Curve A of Figure 7 (a)} & \text{for } \zeta < 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } \zeta = 0 \\ \text{Curve B of Figure 7 (a)} & \text{for } \zeta > 0 \end{cases} \quad (6.7)$$

Such a function is plotted in Figure 7 (b). For  $\zeta \neq 0$ ,  $F$  solves the second order ODE obtained by making the traveling wave *ansatz* for (1.1) and integrating up once with the boundary condition that  $F \rightarrow 1$  at  $\pm\infty$ .

$$-cF + F^3 + cF^3 F'' = 1 - c \quad (6.8)$$

$F$  is also continuous at  $\zeta = 0$ . Expanding about  $\zeta = 0$ ,

$$F(\zeta) \sim \sqrt{2}(1 - 1/c)^{1/4} \sqrt{|\zeta|}$$

and

$$\lim_{|\zeta| \rightarrow 0, \zeta \neq 0} cF(\zeta)^3 F''(\zeta) = 1 - c$$

If we define  $(cF^3 F'')(0) = 1 - c$ , then (6.7) satisfies (6.8) as written, *but not*

$$F'' = \frac{1-c}{cF^3} - \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{F^2}$$

Since  $F$  satisfies (6.8) everywhere,

$$\phi(z, t)^3 \phi(z, t)_{zt} = -cF(z - ct)^3 F''(z - ct) = 1 - c - cF(z - ct) + F(z - ct)^3$$

reducing the left-hand side of (6.6) to

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty (-\partial_t \psi(z, t) - c\partial_z \psi(z, t)) F(z - ct) dz dt$$

This is just the weak form of the transport PDE

$$\partial_t u + c\partial_z u = 0 \quad (6.9)$$

which is solved by any function of the form  $u(z, t) = u(z - ct)$ . Hence it is a weak solution in the the sense of (6.6). It is bounded, continuous and in  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Unfortunately, there is a uniqueness problem. Let

$$G(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \text{Curve A of Figure 6.3(a)} & \text{for } \zeta < 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } \zeta = 0 \\ \text{Curve C of Figure 6.3(a)} & \text{for } 0 < \zeta < z^* \\ 0 & \text{for } \zeta = z^* \\ \text{Curve B of Figure 6.3(a)} & \text{for } \zeta > z^* \end{cases} \quad (6.10)$$

This function is pictured in Figure 7 (c). Except at 0 and  $z^*$ ,  $G$  satisfies (6.8) pointwise. In addition, we claim that, as before, at the two cusps,

$$\lim_{|\zeta| \rightarrow 0, z^*, \zeta \neq 0, z^*} cG(\zeta)^3 F''(\zeta) = 1 - c$$

so that  $G$  in fact satisfies the ODE pointwise, everywhere. Using the same manipulation as above, we get

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty (-\partial_t \psi(z, t) - c \partial_z \psi(z, t)) G(z - ct) dz dt$$

Once again, we have reached the weak form of (6.9) and  $G$  is a weak solution to this, hence to the magma equation. Moreover, we could add any number of bumps of finite length of type  $C$  between the two curves  $A$  and  $B$  which go to 1 at  $\pm\infty$ .

A selection criterion is needed to distinguish between these functions in the same way an entropy condition is used to distinguish between physical and non-physical solutions to systems of conservation laws. Although even with such a selection criterion, we recall the physical argument from [12] that there will be stress singularities at a cusps, suggesting none of these are physically realizable.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank J. Bona, D. McKenzie, and P. Rosenau for their helpful comments.

This work was supported under the National Science Foundation (NSF) Collaboration in Mathematical Geosciences (CMG) grant DMS-0530853, the NSF Integrative Graduate Education and Research Traineeship (IGERT) grant DGE-0221041, and NSF grant DMS-04-12305.

## References

- [1] W. Zhu and G. Hirth. A network model for permeability in partially molten rocks. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 212(3-4):407–416, July 2003.
- [2] D. McKenzie. The generation and compaction of partially molten rock. *Journal of Petrology*, 25:713–765, 1984.
- [3] D.R. Scott and D.J. Stevenson. Magma solitons. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 11:1161–1164, 1984.
- [4] D.R. Scott and D.J. Stevenson. Magma ascent by porous flow. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 91:9283–9296, 1986.
- [5] V. Barcilon and F.M. Richter. Non-linear waves in compacting media. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 165:429–448, 1986.
- [6] M. Nakayama and D.P. Mason. Rarefactive solitary waves in two-phase fluid flow of compacting media. *Wave Motion*, 15(4):357–392, May 1992.
- [7] V. Barcilon and O.M. Lovera. Solitary waves in magma dynamics. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 204:121–133, 1989.
- [8] M. Spiegelman. Flow in deformable porous media. part 1: Simple analysis. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 247:17–38, 1993.
- [9] M. Spiegelman. Flow in deformable porous media. part 2: Numerical analysis. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 247:39–63, 1993.
- [10] P. Olson and U. Christensen. Solitary wave-propagation in a fluid conduit within a viscous matrix. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 91:6367–6374, 1986.
- [11] J.A. Whitehead and K.R. Helfrich. Wave transport of deep mantle material. *Nature*, 336(6194):59–61, 1988.
- [12] D. Takahashi, J.R. Sachs, and J. Satsuma. Properties of the magma and modified magma equations. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 59(6):1941–1953, June 1990.
- [13] D. Takahashi and J. Satsuma. Explicit solutions of magma equation. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 57(2):417–421, February 1988.
- [14] M. Nakayama and D.P. Mason. Compressive solitary waves in compacting media. *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, 26(5):631–640, 1991.
- [15] M. Nakayama and D.P. Mason. On the existence of compressive solitary waves in compacting media. *Journal of Physics A*, 27:4589–4599, 1994.

- [16] M. Nakayama and D.P. Mason. On the effect of background voidage on compressive solitary waves in compacting media. *Journal of Physics A*, 28:7243–7261, 1995.
- [17] M. Nakayama and D.P. Mason. Perturbation solution for small amplitude solitary waves in two-phase fluid flow of compacting media. *Journal of Physics A*, 32:6309–6320, 1999.
- [18] D.G. Aronson. The porous-medium equation, 1986.
- [19] M. Gage and R.S. Hamilton. The heat equation shrinking convex plane curves. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 23(1):69–96, 1986.
- [20] P. Rosenau and J.M. Hyman. Compactons: Solitons with finite wavelength. *Physical Review Letters*, 70(5):564–7, 1993.
- [21] D.H. Peregrine. Calculations of development of an undular bore. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 25:321–330, 1966.
- [22] T.B. Benjamin, J.L. Bona, and J.J. Mahony. Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive systems. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, A272(1220):47–78, 1972.
- [23] V.I. Arnold. Conditions for nonlinear stability of stationary plane curvilinear flows of an ideal fluid. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 162:975–978, 1965.
- [24] T.B. Benjamin. The stability of solitary waves. *Proceedings of the Royal Society (London) Series A*, 328:153–183, 1972.
- [25] D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, T. Ratiu, and A. Weinstein. Nonlinear stability of fluid and plasma equilibria. *Physics Reports*, 123(1-2):1–116, 1985.
- [26] M.I. Weinstein. Lyapunov stability of ground states of nonlinear dispersive evolution equations. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 39:51–68, 1986.
- [27] G. Simpson, M. Spiegelman, and M.I. Weinstein. Nonlinear stability of solitary waves in magma dynamics. To be submitted.
- [28] W. Rudin. *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, Inc., 1976.
- [29] L.C. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations*. American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [30] F. John. *Partial Differential Equations*. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, fourth edition edition, 1982.
- [31] S.E. Harris. Conservation laws for a nonlinear wave equation. *Nonlinearity*, 9:187–208, 1996.
- [32] M. Rabinowicz, Y. Ricard, and M. Gregoire. Compaction in the mantle with a very small melt concentration: Implications for the generation of carbonatitic and carbonate-bearing high alkaline mafic melt impregnations. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 203:205–220, 2002.